**People are living longer. Some people think that it causes big problems. Others, however, think the aging population has many advantages for business, government and for society in general. Discuss both views & give your own opinion**

There is little room for doubt that there has been staggering growth in the proportion of elderly people in many countries. In my opinion, I believe that this trend brings both advantages and disadvantages to society.

Firstly, there are a great number of those who believe having more mature individuals in society brings better than harm. Specifically, they have major roles in the development of the economy since they are the main labor. Secondly, they can contribute to some sectors such as teaching, management, scientific research, and politics thanks to their extensive experience. Lastly, more old people would pose a burden to families as parents would have to take care of older family members, and no doubt aged citizens tend to be meddlesome and stubborn, causing frequent conflicts probably. For example, Companies and organizations are relied on them to sell and capitalize on their income.

On the other hand, as most people in modern times are busy with tasks at the workplace, elderly members like grandparents can do the babysitting, relieving parents’ worries of their children lacking in moral support or leisure activities. Overpopulation could be the most significant reason why many people are concerned about the growing amount of elderly. Many individuals express their concerns about those limited resources and the possibility of running out in the long run. Many fresh graduates will not be able to get a job only because experienced old people will hold those positions. Finally, government and family will have to support the old people and that would require a huge amount of money.

In my opinion, the longer people live, the more opportunities we can possess to build and create a prosperous nation. I strongly believe it would set up a hopeful future for a strong, thriving nation.
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**In some countries police officers do not carry guns. How does this affect the manner they implement law and order? Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of having a gun. Provide specific reasons and examples to support your opinion.**

In a few nations police officers don't carry weapons. Police are the main force for controlling the law and play a crucial part in the preservation of their countries. In this essay, I will discuss both benefits and drawbacks of having a gun.

Firstly, one of the big advantages of the bearing of arms is self-protection from a criminal. Secondly, Police officers having no pistols lead to an uncontrollable environment in society. Criminal activities would rise at an alarming rate if no fear is established among them as officers do not have power in their hands. For Example, by 80 per cent in India after the police force is weaponized. Apart from this, most times these guns are used for self-defense of the law enforcement officers.

The drawbacks are also to be considered while handing over the guns to officials. At times they are using pistols to kill themselves in some weak moments of their lives. Adding to this, some officials are using this power to encourage corruption in society. The reason is due to mental disorders or increased powers that create a sense of control over others and as a result the confidence in the police is lost.

In conclusion, considering all written above, authorities should consider the pros and cons and make the right decisions at the expense of their citizens and while the most pertinent favorable position of having a firearm is for self-protection, the weakness of abusing it ought to likewise be considered by the specialists.
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